

July 1, 2012 - June 30, 2013

METHODOLOGY

This document contains the measurements for the State Performance Plan (SPP)/ Annual Performance Report (APR) Indicators. These measurements are reported at the state level in the APR submission, and at the district level in the public reporting process.

Texas Education Agency
Division of Federal and State Education Policy

http://www.tea.state.tx.us

Submitted: February 3, 2014

Table of Contents

Monitoring Priority | FAPE in the LRE

Indicator 1	Graduation	
	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma	1
Indicator 2	Dropout	
	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school	2
Indicator 3	Annual Measurable Objectives (AMO)	
	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on statewide assessments	
	A. Percent of the districts with a disability subgroup that meets the State's minimum "n" size that meet the State's AMO targets for the disability subgroup	3
	B. Participation rate for children with IEPs	4
	C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards	5
Indicator 4	Suspension and Expulsion	
	Percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year	6
	B. Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards	7
Indicator 5	Educational Environment, Ages 6 - 21	
	Percent of children with IEPs ages 6 through 21 served:	8
	A. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day	
	B. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day; and	
	C. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.	
Indicator 6	Educational Environment, Ages 3 - 5	
	Percent of children with IEPs ages 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a:	11

	B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility.
Indicator 9	Disproportionality
	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification
Indicator 10	Disproportionality
	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification14
Mo	nitoring Priority Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
Indicator 11	Child Find
	Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 days (or State established timeline)
Monitor	ng Priority Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition
	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays16
Indicator 13	Secondary Transition
	Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals

A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early

childhood program; and

SPP Indicator #1

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.

Measurement: Measurement for youth with IEPs should be the same measurement as for all youth.

The graduation rate is calculated as follows:

number of students from a given cohort who received a high school diploma

divided by

total number of students in a given cohort (graduation + GED* + continuing + dropout)

*GED = General Educational Development (GED) certificates

Example:

Class of 2007 (2006-07)	
a. Number who graduated	70
b. Number who dropped out	10
c. Number who received GED	10
d. Number who continued	10
e. Number in cohort (class of 2007)	100

Graduation rate = (a. / e.) * 100Graduation rate = (70 / 100) * 100Graduation rate = 70%

Data Source: Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS), Class of 2012

(2011-12)

SPP Indicator # 2

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.

Measurement: Measurement for youth with IEPs should be the same measurement as for all youth.

The dropout rate is calculated as follows:

number of students from a given cohort who dropped out before the fall of a given year

divided by

total number of students in a given cohort (graduation + GED* + continuing + dropout)

*GED = General Educational Development (GED) certificates

Example:

Class of 2007 (2006-07)	
a. Number who graduated	70
b. Number who dropped out	10
c. Number who received GED	10
d. Number who continued	10
e. Number in cohort (class of 2007)	100

Dropout rate = (b. / e.) * 100Dropout rate = (10 / 100) * 100

Dropout rate = 10%

Data Source: Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS), Class of 2012

(2011-12)

SPP Indicator # 3A

Percent of districts that have a disability subgroup that meets the State's minimum "n" size meeting the State's AMO objectives for progress for disability subgroup.

Measurement:

Districts with the minimum "n" size must meet all four criteria listed below to meet the standard.

State's AYP objectives for progress for disability subgroup ("n" size = 50):

- 1. 95% of students with disabilities participated in assessment in Reading/ELA
- 2. 95% of students with disabilities participated in assessment in Mathematics
- 3. 75% of students with disabilities meet the proficiency standard in Reading/ELA
- 4. 75% of students with disabilities meet the proficiency standard in Mathematics

Example:

	AYP Objective	%	Met Objective
1.	Students with disabilities who participated in assessment in Reading/ELA	98%	YES
2.	Students with disabilities who participated in assessment in Mathematics	98%	YES
3.	Students with disabilities who met the proficiency standard in Reading/ELA	95%	YES
4.	Students with disabilities who met the proficiency standard in Mathematics	96%	YES

District met AYP objective?	YES
-----------------------------	-----

Data Source: 2012-13 Annual Measurable Objectives (AMO) Dataset used for Accountability reporting under Title 1 of the ESEA

SPP Indicator # 3B

Participation rate for children with IEPs.

Measurement:

Participation rate =

- a. # of children with IEPs in assessed grades;
- b. # of children with IEPs in regular assessment with no accommodations (percent = [(b) divided by (a)] times 100);
- c. # of children with IEPs in regular assessment with accommodations (percent = [(c) divided by (a)] times 100);
- d. # of children with IEPs in alternate assessment against grade level achievement standards (percent = [(d) divided by (a)] times 100);
- e. # of children with IEPs in alternate assessment against modified achievement standards (percent = [(e) divided by (a)] times 100); and
- f. # of children with IEPs in alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards (percent = [(f) divided by (a)] times 100).

Overall Percent = [(b + c + d + e + f) divided by (a)].

Example:

a. # of children with IEPs in grades assessed	250	
b. # of children with IEPs in regular assessment with no accommodations	190	76%
c. # of children with IEPs in regular assessment with accommodations	30	12%
d. # of children with IEPs in alternate assessment against grade level standards	0	0%
e. # of children with IEPs in alternate assessment against modified achievement standards	15	6%
f. # of children with IEPs in alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	10	4%
Participants, Grades 3-8, 10	245	98%
Non-participants	5	2%

Overall Percent = [(b + c + d + e + f) divided by (a)] * 100

Overall Percent = [(190 + 30 + 0 + 15 + 10)] divided by (250)] * 100

Overall Percent = [(245) / (250)] * 100

Overall Percent = 98%

Data Source: : 2012-13 Annual Measurable Objectives (AMO) Dataset used for Accountability reporting under Title 1 of the ESEA

SPP Indicator # 3C

Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified, and alternate achievement standards

Measurement:

Proficiency rate =

- a. # of children with IEPs in assessed grades;
- b. # of children with IEPs in assessed grades who are proficient or above as measured by the regular assessment with no accommodations (percent = [(b) divided by(a)] times 100);
- # of children with IEPs in assessed grades who are proficient or above as measured by the regular assessment with accommodations (percent = [(c) divided by (a)] times 100):
- d. # of children with IEPs in assessed grades who are proficient or above as measured by the alternate assessment against grade level achievement standards (percent = [(d) divided by (a)] times 100);
- e. # of children with IEPs in assessed grades who are proficient or above as measured by the alternate assessment against modified achievement standards (percent = [(e) divided by (a)] times 100); and
- f. # of children with IEPs in assessed grades who are proficient or above as measured against alternate achievement standards (percent = [(f) divided by (a)] times 100).

Overall Percent = [(b + c + d + e + f)] divided by (a)].

Example:

a. # of children with IEPs in grades assessed	250	
b. # of children with IEPs in grades assessed who are proficient or above as measured by the regular assessment with no accommodations	133	53%
c. # of children with IEPs in grades assessed who are proficient or above as measured by the regular assessment with accommodations	37	15%
d. # of children with IEPs in grades assessed who are proficient or above as measured by the alternate assessment against grade level standards	0	0%
e. # of children with IEPs in assessed grades who are proficient or above as measured by the alternate assessment against modified achievement standards	12	5%
f. # of children with IEPs in grades assessed who are proficient or above as measured against alternate achievement standards	8	3%
Total Proficient	190	76%

Overall Percent = [(b + c + d + e + f) divided by (a)] * 100

Overall Percent = [(133 + 37 + 0 + 12 + 8)] divided by (250)] * 100

Overall Percent = [(190) / (250)] * 100

Overall Percent = 76%

Data Source: : 2012-13 Annual Measurable Objectives (AMO) Dataset used for Accountability reporting under Title 1 of the ESEA

SPP Indicator # 4A

Percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year

Methodology

Methodology is available on the TEA website at:

http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index2.aspx?id=2147497414

Public Reporting

Actual data are not reported for Indicator 4A. Instead, districts that exceed the cut point are reported as not having met the state target.

These districts must conduct a self assessment concerning policies, procedures, and practices for disciplining students with disabilities. After conducting the self assessment, districts are required to submit a written assurance statement to the TEA reflecting the district's compliance with rules and regulations.

SPP Indicator # 4B

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.

Methodology

Methodology is available on the TEA website at:

http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index2.aspx?id=2147497414

Public Reporting

Actual data are not reported for Indicator 4B. Instead, districts that exceed the cut point are reported as not having met the state target.

These districts must conduct a self assessment concerning policies, procedures, and practices for disciplining students with disabilities. After conducting the self assessment, districts are required to submit a written assurance statement to the TEA reflecting the district's compliance with rules and regulations.

SPP Indicator # 5A

Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class 80% or more of the day.

Measurement:

Percent = [(# of children with IEPs inside the regular class 80% or more of the day) divided by the (total # of students aged 6 through 21 with IEPs)] times 100.

Example:

Educational Environments, Students ages 6-21	#	%
a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day	80	53%
b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day	20	13%
c. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements	10	7%
d. Inside the regular class no more than 79% of day but no less than 40% of day	40	27%
e. Total Students, Ages 6-21	150	100%

Percent inside the regular class 80% or more of the day = [(a. divided by e.] * 100

Percent = [(80) / (150)] * 100

Percent = 53%

SPP Indicator # 5B

Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.

Measurement:

Percent = [(# of children with IEPs inside the regular class less than 40% of the day) divided by the (total # of students aged 6 through 21 with IEPs)] times 100.

Example:

Educational Environments, Students ages 6-21	#	%
a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day	80	53%
b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day	20	13%
c. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements	10	7%
d. Inside the regular class no more than 79% of day but no less than 40% of day	40	27%
e. Total Students, Ages 6-21	150	100%

Percent inside the regular class less than 40% of the day = [(b. divided by e.] * 100

Percent = [(20) / (150)] * 100

Percent = 13%

SPP Indicator # 5C

Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.

Measurement:

Percent = [(# of children with IEPs served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements) divided by the (total # of students aged 6 through 21 with IEPs)] times 100.

Example:

Educational Environments, Students ages 6-21	#	%
a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day	80	53%
b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day	20	13%
c. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements	10	7%
d. Inside the regular class no more than 79% of day but no less than 40% of day	40	27%
e. Total Students, Ages 6-21	150	100%

Percent in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements. = [(c. divided by e.] * 100

Percent = [(10) / (150)] * 100

Percent = 7%

SPP Indicator # 6A

Percent of children with IEPs aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program.

Measurement:

Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.

Example:

Educational Environments, Students ages 3-5	#	%
Attending a regular early childhood program and receiving majority of special education and related services in a regular early childhood program	39	44%
b. Attending a special education program (not in any regular early childhood program) in separate special education class, separate school or residential facility placements	15	17%
c. Attending a regular early childhood program and receiving majority of special education and related services in some other location	11	13%
d. Attending neither a regular early childhood program nor special education program, and receiving the majority of special education and related services at home, the service provider location, or some other location not listed	23	26%
e. Total Students, Ages 3-5	88	100%

Percent attending a regular early childhood program and receiving majority of special education and related services in a regular early childhood program = [(a. divided by e.] * 100

Percent = [(39) / (88)] * 100

Percent = 44%

SPP Indicator # 6B

Percent of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility.

Measurement:

Percent = [(#of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of students aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.

Example:

Educational Environments, Students ages 3-5	#	%
Attending a regular early childhood program and receiving majority of special education and related services in a regular early childhood program	39	44%
Attending a special education program (not in any regular early childhood program) in separate special education class, separate school or residential facility placements	15	17%
c. Attending a regular early childhood program and receiving majority of special education and related services in some other location	11	13%
d. Attending neither a regular early childhood program nor special education program, and receiving the majority of special education and related services at home, the service provider location, or some other location not listed	23	26%
e. Total Students, Ages 3-5	88	100%

Percent of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility = [(b. divided by e.] * 100

Percent = [(15) / (88)] * 100

Percent = 17%

SPP Indicator #9

Disproportionality of racial and ethnic groups (African American, Asian, Hispanic, Native American, White) in the special education program.

The following methodology is used for African American, Asian, Hispanic, Native American, and White student populations, ages 6-21, from the 2008-09 PEIMS fall Snapshot.

Methodology

Methodology is available on the TEA website at:

http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index2.aspx?id=2147497587

Public Reporting

Page 13

Actual data are not reported for Indicator 9. Instead, districts that exceed the cut point are identified as having as a potential issue with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification.

These districts must conduct a self assessment concerning policies, procedures, and practices for the identification of students with disabilities. After conducting the self assessment, districts are required to submit a written assurance statement to the TEA reflecting the district's compliance with rules and regulations.

Districts that are unable to submit a written assurance statement are reported as not meeting the state target in their public report.

SPP Indicator # 10

Disproportionality of racial and ethnic groups (African American, Asian, Hispanic, Native American, White) by specific disability categories.

The following methodology is used for African American, Asian, Hispanic, Native American, and White student populations, ages 6-21, from the 2008-09 PEIMS fall Snapshot. The specific disability categories required for the analysis are Autism (AU), Emotional Disturbance (ED), Learning Disability (LD), Mental Retardation (MR), Other Health Impaired (OHI), and Speech Impairment (SI)..

Methodology

Methodology is available on the TEA website at:

http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index2.aspx?id=2147497587

Public Reporting

Actual data are not reported for Indicator 10. Instead, districts that exceed the cut point are identified as having as a potential issue with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification.

These districts must conduct a self assessment concerning policies, procedures, and practices for the identification of students with disabilities. After conducting the self assessment, districts are required to submit a written assurance statement to the TEA reflecting the district's compliance with rules and regulations.

Districts that are unable to submit a written assurance statement are reported as not meeting the state target in their public report.

SPP Indicator # 11

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 days (or State established timeline).

Measurement:

- a. # of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received.
- b. # determined not eligible whose evaluations and eligibility determinations were completed within 60 days (or State established timeline).
- c. # determined eligible whose evaluations and eligibility determinations were completed within 60 days (or State established timeline).

Percent = b + c divided by a times 100.

Example:

Pe	rcentage of Timely Initial Evaluation	#
a.	# of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received	100
b.	# determined not eligible whose evaluations and eligibility determinations were completed within 90 days (State established timeline)	8
C.	# determined eligible whose evaluations and eligibility determinations were completed within 90 days (State established timeline)	88

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 days (or State established timeline)	96%
---	-----

Percent = ((b. + c.) / a) * 100

Percent = [(8 + 88) / 100] * 100

Percent = 96%

Public Reporting

Actual data are not reported for Indicator 11. Districts reporting less than 100% are reported as not meeting the state target in their public report.

Data Source: 2012-13 SPP11 Dataset

SPP Indicator # 12

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

Measurement:

- a. # of children who have been served in Part C and referred to Part B for eligibility determination.
- b. # of those referred determined to be NOT eligible and whose eligibilities were determined prior to their third birthdays.
- c. # of those found eligible who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- d. # of children for whom parent refusal to provide consent caused delays in evaluation or initial services.
- e. e. # of children who were referred to Part C less than 90 days before their third birthday.

Percent = c divided by (a - b - d - e) times 100.

Example:

Percentage of Effective Part C to Part B Transition		#
a.	# of children who have been served in Part C and referred to Part B for eligibility determination	25
b.	# of those referred determined to be NOT eligible and whose eligibilities were determined prior to their third birthdays	2
C.	# of those found eligible who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays	19
d.	# of children for whom parent refusal to provide consent caused delays in evaluation or initial services.	0
e.	# of children who were referred to Part C less than 90 days before their third birthday.	0

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	83%
---	-----

Calculation = c divided by (a - b - d - e) * 100

Percent = 19/(25-2-0-0)*100

Percent = 19 / 23 * 100

Percent = 83%

Public Reporting

Actual data are not reported for Indicator 12. Districts reporting less than 100% are reported as not meeting the state target in their public report.

Data Source: 2012-13 SPP12 Dataset

SPP Indicator # 13

Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.

Measurement:

Percent = # of youth with disabilities aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measureable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals divided by # of youth with an IEP age 16 and above times 100.

Example:

Per	centage of Effective Secondary Transition	#
a.	# of youth with disabilities aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services	175
b.	# of youth with disabilities aged 16 and above in the dataset	200

Calculation = (a. / b.) * 100

Percent = (175 / 200) * 100

Percent = 88%

Public Reporting

Actual data are not reported for Indicator 13. Districts reporting less than 100% are reported as not meeting the state target in their public report.

Data Source: 2012-13 SPP13 Dataset